

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| 00062 022160 |              |
|--------------|--------------|
| 00862.023160 | 9131         |
| EXAM         | IINER        |
| VO, Al       | NH T N       |
| ART UNIT     | PAPER NUMBER |
| 2861         | <u> </u>     |
|              | ART UNIT     |

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | 1.2.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1   |   |  |
|---|---|---|--|
| ·   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | 10/629,626  | HAYASAKI, KIMIYUKI  |  |
|   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |
|   | Anh T.N. Vo   | 2861  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with   | the correspondence address  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA<br>136(a). In no event, however, may a reply<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH<br>te, cause the application to become ABAN | ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |
| Status  |   |   |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 f   | <i>May 2006</i> .   |   |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.   |   |   |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa  | •   | • •   |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under  | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1  | 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |  |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1,4-8,16,17 and 19-24</u> is/are pending   | g in the application.   |   |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  | wn from consideration.  |   |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |   |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-8,16,17,19-22 and 24</u> is/are rejection   | oted.   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 23</u> is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>   | or election requirement   |   |  |
| ,   |   |   |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin   |   | the Property  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the   | , , ,   |   |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  |   |   |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E  | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |   |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1  | 19(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document  | its have been received.   |   |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documen   |   | olication No  |  |
| <ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li></ol>   | ority documents have been re  | eceived in this National Stage  |  |
| application from the International Burea  | ,   |   |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis   | t of the certified copies not re  | ceived.   |  |
|   |   |   |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |   |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   |   | nmary (PTO-413)   |  |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/08/2006</u>.</li> </ul>  |   | Mail Date<br>nmal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |

Art Unit: 2861

#### FINAL REJECTION

The rejection over Barbour et al (US 6,318,828) and *Tsuji* (US 6,494,559). are withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims are the arguments presented in the amendment.

#### Claim Rejections

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1, 4-8, 16-17, 19 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Correction or clarification is required.

In claim 1, it is unclear how the recitation "conversion means" on line 14 and "acquisition means" on line 17 is read on the preferred embodiment. Insofar as understood, such means are seen on the drawings. The same is true for claims 16, 19, 21 and 22.

The reaming claims are dependent from the above rejected claims and therefore considered indefinite.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,626 Page 3

Art Unit: 2861

Claims 1, 5-8, 16-17, 19-22 and 24 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (e) as being anticipated by Saruta (US 6,631,967).

As the best construed, Saratu discloses in Figures 1-6 a printing device comprising:

- a command generation means (40) for outputting a command for setting data for causing the printheads (107C, 107M) to perform predetermined processing;
- a carriage (101), which supports the printheads for scanning the printheads on the target printing medium (105, Figure 1);
- a control means arranged on a circuit substrate (board 205) of the said carriage (101), for receiving the command generated by said command generation means (40) and outputting a control signal corresponding to the command to the printheads, thereby controlling the printheads;
- wherein the printheads comprises storage means (80) for storing feature information; and
- wherein the control means including a receiving means (220) for receiving a command from the command generation means (40); a conversion means (200) for obtaining an address of said storage means (80) base on the command and output an access signal to acquisition means (200) for acquiring information corresponding to the access signal. It is noted that the controller (200) performs both converting function of a decoder converting the address of the storage element (80) and acquiring function since it is coupled to the storage element (80) to read and writ data into the storage element (80), see lines 40-62, column 2 of page 14;
- wherein the command generated by said the regeneration means (40) includes a command for driving and controlling the printhead (107C, 107M);
- wherein said command generation means generates a second command to shift register (130) on the basis of a result acquired from the printhead (107C, 107M) in accordance with a first command, and outputs the second command to the printhead (107C, 107M); and
- wherein the printing element comprises a heating element, and performs printing by discharging ink from an orifice arranged in correspondence with the heating element.

# Response to Applicant's Arguments

Art Unit: 2861

The applicant's arguments with respect to the prior art rejection have been carefully considered and have been traversed in view of the new grounds of rejection over Saruta reference.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. This claim is allowed because the prior art or record fails to suggest "said the conversion means has, in correspondence with each of a plurality of types of printheads, a table which makes the information specified by the command and a storage address correspond to each other, and generates the access signal by looking up a table corresponding to a mounted printhead" in combination as claimed.

Claims 23 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. This claim is allowed because the prior art or record fails to suggest "the conversion means comprises a table which makes information specified by the command and a storage address in said storage means correspond to each other" in combination as claimed.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/629,626 Page 5

Art Unit: 2861

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Anh Vo. whose telephone number is (571) 272-2262. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 9:00 A.M.to 7:00 P.M. The fax number of this Group 2861 is (571) 273-8300.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

7/16/06